

ABSTRACT

The main objective of the study was to compare the selected anthropometric variables among team game players. 40 subjects were selected for the present study, in which, twenty 20 male athletes had participated in various inter-college tournaments in football and twenty 20 male athletes had participated in various inter-college tournaments in hockey during the year 2021-2022. The athletes were selected by applying systematic sampling method. The variables selected for measurement and comparison between the athletes were height, weight, arm length and leg length. The age of subjects ranged between 18 to 24 years. The Mean, Standard Deviation, Mean Difference and 't'-value were calculated to find out the significance of difference between the groups. The level of significance was set at 0.05. The result revealed no significant differences between the height, weight and leg length of the football and hockey players however there was significant difference between the arm lengths of the two groups. In conclusion there was no significant difference between the height, weight and leg length of the football and hockey players. **Keywords:** Anthropometric variables & Team Game Players.

INTRODUCTION

Anthropometry has a long history, starting with researchers in the 17th and 18th centuries who were interested in using science to examine differences in human populations. Biologists, physicians, and anthropologists have all contributed to the field



INTERNATIONAL RESEARC	H JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION A	AND SPORTS SCIENCES
ISSN: 2394 –7985	PEER REVIEWED	PRINTED & ONLINE
VOLUME: XI	ISSUE: II	FEBURARY-2023
		Bi –Annual
International Peer Reviewed, Re	fereed & Indexed Research Journal	
INDEXED BY:		
INTERNATIONAL SCIEN	NTIFIC INDEXING (ISI) -UAE	
ADVANCED SCIENCES I	NDEX (ASI) -GERMANY	
INTERNATIONAL SOCIE	ETY FOR RESEARCH ACTIVITY	(ISRA) -INDIA
SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL I	MPACT FACTOR (SJIF) -INDIA	@Feburary2023 IRJPESS
IRJPE	SS Impact Factor (ISRA: JIF): SJIF: 2.7	771 & 6.05 6.334, 6.556
Research	Unique Number (RUN): 16.09.2022	.2034
	Website: ww	w.sportjournals.org.in

of anthropometry by developing a variety of instruments and guidelines for measuring the human body. Today, the methods used in anthropometry have proven beneficial for a variety of disciplines and individuals, including researchers, health care professionals, coaches, and athletes. By measuring the human body to assess its shape, size, and composition, health care professionals and researchers have developed guidelines used to determine the ranges for normal growth in children, healthy weight in adults, and the amount of muscle mass associated with athletic performance. For the most part, anthropometric measurements are considered non-invasive, as they do not require any surgical techniques in order to acquire these data. Instead, common everyday objects such as tape measures, weight scales, and calipers can be used to quickly collect measurements What individuals. are anthropometric measurements? from Anthropometric measurements refer to the use of specific tools to collect the data needed to assess the health and growth status of the human body. In this section, the different types of anthropometric measurements and the tools used to collect these data will be explained in greater detail.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The main objective of the study was to compare the selected anthropometric variables among team game players.



INTERNATIONAL RESEARC	H JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION A	AND SPORTS SCIENCES
ISSN: 2394 –7985	PEER REVIEWED	PRINTED & ONLINE
VOLUME: XI	ISSUE: II	FEBURARY-2023
		Bi –Annual
International Peer Reviewed, Re	fereed & Indexed Research Journal	
INDEXED BY:		
INTERNATIONAL SCIEN	NTIFIC INDEXING (ISI) -UAE	
ADVANCED SCIENCES I	NDEX (ASI) -GERMANY	
INTERNATIONAL SOCIE	ETY FOR RESEARCH ACTIVITY	(ISRA) -INDIA
SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL I	MPACT FACTOR (SJIF) -INDIA	@Feburary2023 IRJPESS
IRJPE	SS Impact Factor (ISRA: JIF): SJIF: 2.3	771 & 6.05 6.334, 6.556
Research	Unique Number (RUN): 16.09.2022	2.2034
	Website: ww	w.sportjournals.org.in

DESIGN OF THE STUDY

40 subjects were selected for the present study, in which, twenty 20 male athletes had participated in various inter-college tournaments in football and twenty 20 male athletes had participated in various inter-college tournaments in hockey during the year 2021-2022. The athletes were selected by applying systematic sampling method. The variables selected for measurement and comparison between the athletes were height, weight, arm length and leg length. The age of subjects ranged between 18 to 24 years. The Mean, Standard Deviation, Mean Difference and t'-value were calculated to find out the significance of difference between the groups.

TOOLS FOR DATA COLLECTION

For Weight (kilograms) a weighing machine was used, for Height (centimeters) a stadiometer was used and for arm and leg length (centimeters) a measuring tape was used. **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATIONS OF THE DATA**

The Mean, Standard Deviation, Mean Difference and 't'-values were calculated to find out the significance of differences between the selected anthropometric components of Football and Hockey players. The level of significance was set at 0.05. The results with regard to the anthropometric variables arm length, leg length, height and weight are given in the tables below:-



INTERNATIONAL RESEARC	CH JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION A	AND SPORTS SCIENCES
ISSN: 2394 –7985	PEER REVIEWED	PRINTED & ONLINE
VOLUME: XI	ISSUE: II	FEBURARY-2023
		Bi –Annual
International Peer Reviewed, R	efereed & Indexed Research Journal	
INDEXED BY:		
INTERNATIONAL SCIE	NTIFIC INDEXING (ISI) -UAE	
ADVANCED SCIENCES	INDEX (ASI) -GERMANY	
INTERNATIONAL SOCI	ETY FOR RESEARCH ACTIVITY	(ISRA) -INDIA
SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL	IMPACT FACTOR (SJIF) -INDIA	@Feburary2023 IRJPESS
IRJPI	ESS Impact Factor (ISRA: JIF): SJIF: 2.3	771 & 6.05 6.334, 6.556
Research	Unique Number (RUN): 16.09.2022	2.2034
	Website: ww	w.sportiournals.org.in

Table No: I					
Table	Table showing the significance of difference between the arm length of Players				
Subjects	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean Difference	Obtained t ratio	Table value of t ratio

2.2

2.09

Football71.2*Significant at 0.05 level

69

3.65

1.83

Hockey

The above table shows that the Mean value of hockey players is 56 and the Mean value football players are 58.4. Similarly it shows that the Standard Deviation of hockey players is 8.45 and 7.88 is the Standard Deviation of Football players. The Mean Difference between both groups was of 1.35. After calculations the value of the 't' ratio is 0.92 whereas the table value of the 't' ratio is 2.09. As the obtained 't' ratio is more than the table value of 't' ration, there is a significant difference between the height of hockey and football players.



INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH	JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION	AND SPORTS SCIENCES	
ISSN: 2394 –7985	PEER REVIEWED	PRINTED & ONLINE	
VOLUME: XI	ISSUE: II	FEBURARY-2023	
		Bi –Annual	
International Peer Reviewed, Refe	reed & Indexed Research Journal		
INDEXED BY:			
INTERNATIONAL SCIENT	FIFIC INDEXING (ISI) -UAE		
ADVANCED SCIENCES IN	DEX (ASI) -GERMANY		
INTERNATIONAL SOCIET	FY FOR RESEARCH ACTIVIT	Y (ISRA) -INDIA	
SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL IM	IPACT FACTOR (SJIF) -INDIA	@Feburary2023 IRJPESS	
IRJPESS	S Impact Factor (ISRA: JIF): SJIF: 2	.771 & 6.05 6.334, 6.556	
Research Unique Number (RUN): 16.09.2022.2034			
	Website: wy	ww.sportjournals.org.in	



Table No: II

Significance of difference between the leg length of hockey and football players

Sport	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean Difference	Obtained t ratio	Table value of t ratio
Hockey	93.05	2.52			
Football	93.15	1.82	0.35	0.14	2.09

*Significant at 0.05 level

The above table shows that the Mean value of Hockey players is 93.05 and the Mean value Football players are 93.15. Similarly it shows that the Standard Deviation of



INTERNATIONAL RESEARC	CH JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION A	ND SPORTS SCIENCES
ISSN: 2394 –7985	PEER REVIEWED	PRINTED & ONLINE
VOLUME: XI	ISSUE: II	FEBURARY-2023
		Bi –Annual
International Peer Reviewed, Re	efereed & Indexed Research Journal	
INDEXED BY:		
INTERNATIONAL SCIEN	NTIFIC INDEXING (ISI) -UAE	
ADVANCED SCIENCES	INDEX (ASI) -GERMANY	
INTERNATIONAL SOCI	ETY FOR RESEARCH ACTIVITY	(ISRA) -INDIA
SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL I	IMPACT FACTOR (SJIF) -INDIA	@Feburary2023 IRJPESS
IRJPE	ESS Impact Factor (ISRA: JIF): SJIF: 2.2	771 & 6.05 6.334, 6.556
Research	Unique Number (RUN): 16.09.2022	.2034
	Website: ww	w.sportjournals.org.in

hockey players is 2.52 and 1.82 is the Standard Deviation of Football players. The Mean Difference between both groups was of 0.35. After calculations the value of the 't' ratio is 0.14 whereas the table value of the 't' ratio is 2.09. As the obtained 't' ratio is less than the table value of 't' ration, there is no significant difference between the height of Hockey and Football players.



INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH	H JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION A	AND SPORTS SCIENCES
ISSN: 2394 –7985	PEER REVIEWED	PRINTED & ONLINE
VOLUME: XI	ISSUE: II	FEBURARY-2023
		Bi –Annual
International Peer Reviewed, Ref	fereed & Indexed Research Journal	
INDEXED BY:		
INTERNATIONAL SCIEN	TIFIC INDEXING (ISI) -UAE	
ADVANCED SCIENCES II	NDEX (ASI) -GERMANY	
INTERNATIONAL SOCIE	CTY FOR RESEARCH ACTIVITY	Y (ISRA) -INDIA
SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL I	MPACT FACTOR (SJIF) -INDIA	@Feburary2023 IRJPESS
IRJPES	SS Impact Factor (ISRA: JIF): SJIF: 2.	771 & 6.05 6.334, 6.556
Research U	Unique Number (RUN): 16.09.2022	2.2034
	Website: ww	w.sportjournals.org.in

The above table shows that the Mean value of hockey players is 170.6 and the Mean value football players are 171.95. Similarly it shows that the Standard Deviation of hockey players is 4.99 and 3.80 is the Standard Deviation of football players. The Mean Difference between both groups was of 1.35. After calculations the value of the 't' ratio is 0.96 whereas the table value of the 't' ratio is 2.09. As the obtained 't' ratio is less than the table value of 't' ration, there is no significant difference between the height of hockey and football players.

Table No-IV

Table showing the Significance of difference between the weight of hockey and football players

Sport	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean Difference	Obtained t ratio	Table value of t ratio
Hockey	56	8.45	2.4	0.02	2.00
Football	58.4	7.88	e 2.4	0.92	2.09

*Significant at 0.05 level

The above table shows that the Mean value of hockey players is 56 and the Mean value football players are 58.4. Similarly it shows that the Standard Deviation of hockey players is 8.45 and 7.88 is the Standard Deviation of Football players. The Mean Difference between both groups was of 2.4. After calculations the value of the 't' ratio is 0.92 whereas the table value of the 't' ratio is 2.09. As the obtained 't' ratio is less than the table value of 't' ration there is no significant difference between the height of Hockey and football players.



INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH	JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION A	AND SPORTS SCIENCES
ISSN: 2394 –7985	PEER REVIEWED	PRINTED & ONLINE
VOLUME: XI	ISSUE: II	FEBURARY-2023
		Bi –Annual
International Peer Reviewed, Refe	reed & Indexed Research Journal	
INDEXED BY:		
INTERNATIONAL SCIENT	FIFIC INDEXING (ISI) -UAE	
ADVANCED SCIENCES IN	DEX (ASI) -GERMANY	
INTERNATIONAL SOCIE	FY FOR RESEARCH ACTIVITY	(ISRA) -INDIA
SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL IN	IPACT FACTOR (SJIF) -INDIA	@Feburary2023 IRJPESS
IRJPESS	S Impact Factor (ISRA: JIF): SJIF: 2.1	771 & 6.05 6.334, 6.556
Research U	nique Number (RUN): 16.09.2022	2.2034
	Website: ww	w.sportjournals.org.in

FINDINGS & CONCLUSION

The study shows that that there is no huge difference between the height, weight and leg length of the players of both games. This may be because of the similarities of both the games as both the games require extensive running and other such activities. However, the arm length of the Hockey players seems to be significantly larger than those of the Football players. This provided the Hockey players with much larger range with the Hockey stick. As many of the subjects are still developing further training might bring even more changes, albeit much slowly, in their bodies in response to their training.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ackland TR, Lohman TG, Sundgot-Borgen J, Maughan RJ, Meyer NL, et al. (2012) Current status of body composition assessment in sport: review and position statement on behalf of the ad hoc research working group on body composition health and performance, under the auspices of the I.O.C. Medical Commission. Sports Med 42: 227–249.
- 2. Brun JF, Varlet-Marie E, Cassan D, Raynaud de Mauverger E (2011) Blood rheology and body composition as determinants of exercise performance in female rugby players. Clin Hemorheol Microcirc 49: 207–214.
- 3. Hogstrom GM, Pietila T, Nordstrom P, Nordstrom A (2012) Body composition and performance: influence of sport and gender among adolescents. J Strength Cond Res 26: 1799–1804.
- 4. Kelly TL, Wilson KE, Heymsfield SB (2009) Dual energy X-Ray absorptiometry body composition reference values from NHANES. PLoS One 4: e7038.



INTERNATIONAL RES	EARCH JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL EDUC	ATION AND SPORTS SCIENCES
ISSN: 2394 –7985	PEER REVIEWED	PRINTED & ONLINE
VOLUME: XI	ISSUE: II	FEBURARY-2023
International Boor Deview	ad Deferred & Indexed Decearch Journ	DI –ANNUAI
International I ter Keview	eu, Refereeu & Indexed Research Journ	
INDEXED BY:		
INTERNATIONAL S	CIENTIFIC INDEXING (ISI) -U	AE
ADVANCED SCIEN	CES INDEX (ASI) -GERMANY	
INTERNATIONAL S	OCIETY FOR RESEARCH AC	FIVITY (ISRA) -INDIA
SCIENTIFIC JOURN	AL IMPACT FACTOR (SJIF) -	NDIA @Feburary2023 IRJPESS
	ID IDESS Impact Eactor (ISD A : IIE)	
Doso	NJP155 Impact ractor (ISKA, JIP).	$5 j r. 2.771 & 0.05 0.554, 0.550 \\ 0 0 2022 2034$
Kese	aren omque Number (KoN). 10.	ital www.coortiournols.org.in
	Webs	ite. www.sportjournais.org.m
$5 M_{\rm e}$		
5. Malina RM (2	(007) Body composition in athlet	tes: assessment and estimated
fatness. Clin Sp	orts Med 26: 37–68.	
6. Prior BM, Mod	llesky CM, Evans EM, Sloniger M	IA, Saunders MJ, et al. (2001)
Muscularity and	d the density of the fat-free mass	in athletes. J Appl Physiol 90:
1523–1531.		
7. Rodriguez NR,	Di Marco NM, Langley S (2009) American College of Sports
Medicine posit	ion stand. Nutrition and athletic	performance. Med Sci Sports
Exerc 41: 709–	731.	
8. Shen W, St-O	Onge M, Wang Z, Heymsfield	SB (2005) Study of Body
Composition: A	In Overview. In: Heymsfield SB, Lo	ohman TG, Wang Z, Going SB,
editors. Human	Body Composition. Champaign, III	: Human Kinetics. pp. 3–14.
9. Sundgot-Borger	n J. et al. (2013) How to minimize	the health risks to athletes who
compete in wei	ght-sensitive sports review and pos	ition statement on behalf of the
Ad Hoc Res	earch Working Group on Bod	v Composition Health and
Performance u	nder the auspices of the IOC Me	dical Commission Br I Sports
Med 47: 1012	1022	alear commission. Dr 5 Sports
10 Wang 7 Piers	on RN Ir. Heymefield SR (1992)	The five-level model: a new
10. Wally Z, Thers	contract of the second second second	Am I Clin Nutr 56: 10, 29
approach to org	m/lager dagger (onthronometric mag	All J Chi Nuu J0. 19–28.
11. https://study.co	m/learn/lesson/anthropometric-meas	surements-purpose.ntml
	•	
	_	
Curiosity is the best O	unlity of a Good Pesearcher'	Dage 0
	danty of a Good Researcher	
		Scientific Journal
TSRA		Impact Factor
J F		
INDIA		TOGETHER WE REACH THE GOAL INDIA
A Reinstitte Televie	ADVANC	ED SCIENCES INDEX
N Scientific Indexing	UAE	INUEAGERMANY